

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Peten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 149 Alexandria, Jirginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,523	12/20/2000	Steven W. Rogers	5150-38200	8428
35690	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C. P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			KE, PENG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	09/742,523	ROGERS ET AL.				
		Art Unit				
	Peng Ke	2174				
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC						

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed August 24 2005.

<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):</li> </ol>	and a conference wil	I not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the F</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	review is appropriate	
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	there is at least one ith 37 CFR 41.37. Th ecision, or the baland never is greater. Furth	actual issue for appeal. Applicant e time period for filing an appeal ce of the two-month time period her, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the contain(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:	
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.		
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	held. The rejection i applicant at this time	s withdrawn and a new Office
All participants:		
(1) <u>Peng Ke</u> .	(3) <u>John Cabeca</u> .	JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2) Kristine Kincaid.	(4)	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100